

REMARKS:

Claim 1-10 are still in the case and had been allowed in their previous form.

Claims 1 and 6 had been amended to improve their form and to better define the invention and a further amendment has now been made to Claims 6 to define the further partition 12 shown, for example, in Fig. 4 and supported at page 15, lines 12-21 of the specification. Support for the other amendments to Claims 1 and 6 can be found in the specification at, for example, page 13, line 17 to page 4, line 18 and page 28, line 8 to page 29, line 7.

The claims are still believed to be in condition for allowance and further favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/PETER C MICHALOS/
Peter C. Michalos
Reg. No. 28,643
Attorney for Applicants
(845) 359-7700

Dated: December 12, 2008

NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.
100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110
Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100

Customer No. 21706

M:\PAT-AMD\G110-078\G110-078US-Amend3.wpd